

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

WEDNESDAY, THE 13TH JUNE 2007 / 23RD JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 15467 of 2004(N)

OS.730/1998 of PRL.SUB COURT,THRISSUR

.....

PETITIONER:

LOTUS, D/O.LATE VALIYAVALLAPPIL KUTTY,
AGED 32 YEARS, KUNNAMKULAM VILLAGE,
THALAPPILLY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.JIJO PAUL

RESPONDENTS:

1. KUMARAN, S/O.KOTTAYIL VELUKUTTY,
KUNNAMKULAM VILLAGE, THALAPPILLY TALUK.

2. NARAYANI, W/O.LATE VALIYAVALLAPPIL KUTTY,
KUNNAMKULAM VILLAGE, THALAPPILLY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.ROBSON PAUL
SRI.GANESH K.B.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 13/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

WPC NO. 15467/2004

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: COPY OF THE PLAINT IN O.S. NO.730/1998 BEFORE THE SUB COURT, THRISSUR 13.12.1003

EXT.P2: COPY OF THE WRITTENS TATEMENT IN O.S. NO. 730/1998 BEFORE THE SUB COURT, THRISSUR.

EXT.P3: COPY OF THE PROOF AFFIDAVIT SWORN TO BY THE 1ST DEFENDANT IN O.S. NO.730/1998 BEFORE THE COURT OF SUB JUDGE, THRISSUR.

EXT.P4: COPY OF THE I.A. NO.1374/2004 IN O.S. NO. 730/1998 FILED BY THEPETITIOENR BEFORE THE SUB COURT.

EXT.P5: COPY OF THE I.A. NO.1373/2004 IN O.S. NO.730/1998 FILED BY THE PETITIOENR ALONG WITH EXT.P4

EXT.P6: COPY OF THE ORDER EXTENDING THE ORDER OF STAY IN W.P.(C) NO.8264/2004 DATED 6.4.2004 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.

EXT.P7: COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 5.3.2004 IN I.A. NO.1373/2004 IN O.S. NO.730/1998 OF THE SUB COURT, THRISSUR.

EXT.P8: COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 5.3.2004 IN I.A. 1374/2004 IN O.S. NO. 730/1998 OF THE SUB COURT, THRISSUR.

EXT.P9: COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BVY THE 1ST RESPONDENT HEREIN DATED 5.3.2004

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 15467 OF 2004

Dated this the 13th day of June 2007

JUDGMENT

Ext.P7 and P8 orders by which two applications filed by the petitioner seeking adjournment of the suit by two months and seeking removal of the suit from the sub list are under challenge in this writ petition under Article 227. It will immediately be stated that I do not find any warrant for invoking the supervisory jurisdiction of this court under Article 227 so as to correct Exts.P7 and P8. But this court granted stay for six months while admitting this writ petition. The stay is being continued till date. Thus the relief which the petitioner sought for by filing the two applications, which resulted in Exts.P7 and P8, stands already granted to the petitioner.

The writ petition will stand disposed of on that basis.

**PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,
JUDGE.**

dpk

